

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 grins 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/277,189	03/26/1999	EDWARD G. MCLAUGHLIN	7196-RCE	3185
23922 7590 070892011 REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUKEN S.C. ATI'N: LINDA KASULKE, DOCKET COORDINATOR 1000 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 2100 ML WAUKEE, WI 53202			EXAMINER	
			POINVIL, FRANTZY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPAdmin@reinhartlaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/277,189	MCLAUGHLIN ET AL.	MCLAUGHLIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
FRANTZY POINVIL	3691		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX 60 MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication.				
 INO period for regly is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to regly within the set or standed period for regly will, by statute, cause the sepitication to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent from adjustment. See 37 CFR 17 (40th). 				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2011.				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 31-53 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) 31-53 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
'				

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S3/00)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/277,189

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 4/21/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolling et al (US Patent No. 5,963,925) in view of Lech et al (US Patent No. 6,094,505).

As per claims 31, 38, 39 and 46 and 53, Kolling et al disclose a system and method for providing electronic statements to clients of a financial institution or service provider. Kolling et la state:

"An electronic statement presentment (ESP) system replaces the preparation and mailing of paper statements and invoices from a biller with electronic delivery. Electronic statements have the same look as paper statements as well as including video, audio, graphics, and custom enclosures. Statements are segmented into mandatory and optional components to minimize download time. The ESP system operates independently or is an enhancement to any

suitable electronic bill payment system". See the abstract. The presentment system of Kolling et al is similar to the claimed location for the customer.

. Kolling et al does not explicitly recite the manner in which the paper bills were transformed or scanned into electronic bills or documents.

Kolling et al then state:

"The present invention is able to receive all electronic statements from a particular biller during a given billing cycle (for those customers that wish electronic statements) and then distribute those electronic statements to the appropriate consumer financial institutions for later delivery to the customers. Customers of a particular biller may use a variety of consumer financial institutions. Routing of the statements to the correct institution for eventual delivery to the customer is performed by the electronic statement presentment system. The task of contacting the consumer and providing the electronic statement in an appropriate medium is left to the consumer financial institution".

This passage also give hints that Kolling et al may obtain both electronic and paper bills so as to transmit these bills in an electronic form to a bank, financial institution or consumer of a service provider. Furthermore, it should be noted that Kolling et al does not positively state that they do not obtain paper bills or they cannot have paper bills using a mailing system. Also, nothing in Kolling prevents Kolling from accepting paper bills for conversion to electronic formats. A biller in the system of Kolling may not have the capability to electronically transmit electronic bills to the system of Kolling for further processing by Kolling. Thus, transmitting

Art Unit: 3691

paper bills to the system of Kolling would have been readily acceptable through the regular mail system. In so doing, the well known method of scanning paper documents or paper bills would have implemented by Kolling et al. Alternately, One of ordinary skill in the art would have turned to Lech et al for the provision of this teaching.

Lech et al disclose a system, method and computer program product for converting a paper bill into an electronic bill for consumer viewing. See the abstract of Lech et al. Lech et al state:

"An information processing methodology gives rise to an application program interface which includes an automated digitizing unit, such as a seamner, which inputs information from a diversity of hard copy documents and stores information from the hard copy documents into a memory as stored document information. Portions of the stored document information are selected in accordance with content instructions which designate portions of the stored document information required by a particular application program. The selected stored document information is then placed into the transmission format required by a particular application program in accordance with transmission format instructions. After the information has been transmission formatted, the information is transmitted to the application program. "See the abstract of Lech et al.

The claimed limitation of a "zone information for locating portions of the billing information" is also taught by Lech et al on column 6, line 66 to column 7, line 19 and column 10, lines 30-65 of Lech et al. Thus, the limitations of "identifying a type of the scanned paper bill; extracting billing information from the electronic image information using a predefined template corresponding to the identified bill type, wherein the predefined template includes zone information for locating portions of the billing information are clearly taught or suggested by

Art Unit: 3691

Lech et al. Comparing the extracted billing information with known information (comparison may be done by a user who scanned the document or by the computer system or by a comparison with scored document module 2.1.1 or correct errors module 2.1.2 of Lech et al.). See column 8, lines 35-43 of Lech et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Lech et al into the system and method of Kolling et al in order to facilitate the conversion of paper bills into an electronic format for presenting to a customer. The motivation would have been to encourage billers who are not yet ready to transmit electronic bills to the bill processors of Kolling to do so, and also to facilitate the processing and/or conversion of paper bills to electronic bills format for better, faster and more accurate purpose. The electronic bills are sent or presented to the consumers for viewing or making payments or for reviewing purposes..

Applicant's representative argues that there is no teaching in Lech that a user identifies a type of the scanned paper bill nor the user does so "from the generated electronic image information".

In response, Lech et al is directed to a system, method and computer program product for converting a scanned document into a digital format. The digital document is stored memory of the computer system and can be used for retrieving other similar documents having similar types of information when requested by a user or application. See the abstract, column 5, lines 58-60 and column 6, lines 53-65.

In the system, method and computer program product of Lech, a particular application may request a specific document which is identified by their contents or by extracted information from that specific document. Since the specific document is not a random selection, it is clearly

Art Unit: 3691

seen that the documents are identified by their contents and therefore Lee teaches having a particular type of identifiable document.

Applicant's representative then argues that the Lech does not teach "identifying a type of the scanned paper bill from the generated electronic image information by comparing optical character recognition (OCR) data generated from the electronic image to a list of types stored in a memory".

In response, Lech et al teaches scanning a paper document using optical character recognition techniques. See column 4, lines 49-58 of Lech et al. The scanned document is converted to a digital file for storing in memory. Lech teaches obtaining a digital image of a canned bill of a given type of bills. Thus, there includes more than one specific bill in the system and method of Lech. See column 5, lines 52-57. Information regarding a particular bill is stored in memory and can be used to retrieve stored digital files of the same or associated bills. See column 6, lines 15-36 of Lech. Thus, since all the digital documents are stored in memory and they are not the same because there includes a plurality types of bills and invoices, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to note that if a desired document type is desired, then comparing the generated scanned image with a stored document would have been desired to do in order to assure that the type of desired document is accurately retrieved. As such, incorporating this well known and obvious technique into the system, method and computer program product of Kolling would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do in order to more accurately select a document for data extraction.

Art Unit: 3691

As per claims 32 and 42, removing unnecessary material form the received paper bill is taught by Lech et al ate column 8, lines 35-43 as Lech et al teach error-correction techniques.

Kolling further teaches forwarding important documents from the received bills to the customer (see the abstract and column 4, lines 15-29); receiving a payment instruction form the customer to pay a bill, drafting a payment on an account for the customer account, and sending the payment to the biller that originated the bill (are taught on column 9, lines 13-28 of Kolling).

As per claims 33, 41 and 48, the combination of Kolling and Lech et al does not explicitly teach drafting a payment comprises the step of printing a physical check on the account. The Examiner notes that such is well known in the art the time of the filing of the invention. Incorporating such a feature in the combination of Kolling and Lech et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art do in order to provide alternate forms of payment in the combined system.

As per claims 34 and 49, Kolling et al state:

"In step 830 SGEN 222 sends this build message to the appropriate CSP based upon the CSP identifier. In step 832, once the CSP has received a message containing an electronic statement for a particular consumer, it delivers this electronic statement to the consumer using any suitable medium that it has arranged for communication to the consumer. Once the CSP has verified that the consumer has received or accessed the electronic statement, the CSP sends a delivery notification message back to the biller. Thus, during a billing cycle a biller is able to present electronic statements to those consumers wishing to receive them. Advantageously, those consumers also wishing to participate in an electronic bill payment system may then easily submit their payment along with remittance data to there CSP who forwards the payment and

Art Unit: 3691

remittance data on to the biller.

FIG. 16 is a sample make payment screen 930 that is displayed when the customer clicks on the return button in band 884 from any of the previous three screens, or when the customer clicks on pay invoice button 878 of FIG. 12. Make payment screen 930 includes button s 932 for viewing accounts, payments, payees, or for logging off or receiving help. Also included is biller name 934, an amount due 936, a running balance 938, and a due date 940. The customer selects a payment amount 942, a payment date 944, a method of payment 946 and finally clicks pay button 948 to submit the payment via an electronic bill payment system for delivery back to the biller. A confirmation screen would typically follow such a transaction. A confirmation screen includes an acknowledgment of payment, and a listing of the payment method, date of payment, amount of payment and a confirmation number. Advantageously, the electronic invoice delivered to the customer from the biller contains all necessary information to construct an electronic bill payment transaction. This electronic remittance coupon information ensures the accurate and economical processing of the payment at the biller's account receivable operation".

As per claims 35-36, 47 and 50-51, Kolling et al teach drafting a payment comprises the submitting an electronic payment wherein the step of submitting an electronic payment using one of an automated clearing house network, an automated teller machine network, and a credit card network. See column 9, lines 14-48 of Kolling et al. See also figures 3 and figure 8 of Kolling et al. Kolling et al further state:

"As mentioned above, FIG. 3 includes elements of an electronic bill <u>payment</u> system. To make a <u>payment</u> after receiving an electronic statement 224, consumer 140 makes a <u>payment</u> 230 to his or her CFI 130. CFI 130 then transmits <u>payment</u> information 232 (including a biller

Art Unit: 3691

identification, a customer biller account number (CBAN), amount, date, etc.) to originating workstation (OWS) 234. OWS 234 transmits <u>payment</u> information 236 in modified form to switch 214 that routes <u>payment</u> information 236 to biller bank workstation (BBWS) 238.

<u>Payment</u> information 236 is then transmitted to biller 102 where the <u>payment</u> information is reconciled with the biller's accounts receivable database".

As per claim 40, Kolling et al disclose a biller identifier for identifying a particular bill or biller. See column 10, lines 48-65 and column 9, lines 40-45 of Kolling et al.

Claim 43 recites limitations contained in claim 31 and these limitations are addressed under a similar rationale. In regard to a payment instruction, a paper check and a printing of a remittance stub. See the rejection of claims 32-36 above.

As per claims 37, 44, 45 and 52, Lech et al discloses the extracting function as Lech et al state:

"The process document module 2.3 includes an extract data module 2.3.1. This module extracts data off of the document in accordance with the user's instructions, for example, the user-defined template, or through the interactive mode.

The process document module 2.3 also includes a preapplication process module 2.3.2 which gathers and associates information extracted from the document in accordance with content instructions. This module prompts the user for any additional information required to satisfy the relationships defined by the content instructions. The preapplication process module 2.3.2 also places the selected information into the transmission format defined by the transmission format instructions.

The preapplication process module 2.3.2 also generates the input file 2.3.3 for the selected application in accordance with the appropriate instructions. The input file 2.3.3 is then transmitted to bus 240 and/or communication interface 4.0 for transmission to a particular application unit 270. " See also column 6, line 66 to column 7, line 19 and figure 8 of Lech et al. The motivation to include an extracting

Art Unit: 3691

is given above and also to insure that specific information is always obtained from a particular paper bill.

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANTZY POINVIL whose telephone number is (571)272-6797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3691

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frantzy Poinvil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

/FP/ June 22, 2011